Cumulative Table of Cases Connecticut Appellate Reports Volume 206

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

Allen v. Shoppes at Buckland Hills, LLC	284
Boyajian v. Planning & Zoning Commission	118
Bray v. Bray	46
Carrasquillo v. Commissioner of Correction	195
Clark v. Waterford	223
Cooke v. Williams	151
Cruz v. Commissioner of Correction	17
In re Naomi W	138
Mecartney v. Mecartney	243

Disability discrimination; claim that trial court erred by failing to charge jury on plaintiff's claim of interference with Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993 (29 U.S.C § 2601 et seq.); claim that trial court erred by admitting letter prepared by plaintiff's coworker into evidence under business records exception to hearsay rule; claim that trial court erred by refusing to admit into evidence certain medical records of plaintiff. Nikola v. 2938 Farifield, LLC			
Disability discrimination; claim that trial court erred by failing to charge jury on plaintiff's claim of interference with Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993 (29 U.S.C. § 2601 et seq.); claim that trial court erred by admitting letter prepared by plaintiff's coworker into evidence under business records exception to hearsay rule; claim that trial court erred by refusing to admit into evidence certain medical records of plaintiff. Nikola v. 2938 Fairfield, LLC. Foreclosure; motion for deficiency judgment; claim that trial court incorrectly concluded that it was not barred by doctrine of res judicata from determining amount of deficiency judgment, claim that certain findings from Probate Court as to amount of deficiency judgment certain findings from Probate Court as to amount of deficiency judgment certain findings from Probate Court as to amount of deficiency judgment certain fast liens paid by plaintiff. Onthank v. Onthank. Breack of contract; whether trial court property concluded that plaintiff substantially complied with notice of default provision of promissory note; claim that trial court erred in its calculation of damages awarded to plaintiff. Saunders v. KDFBS, LLC. Foreclosure; judgment of foreclosure by sale; whether trial court erred in determining plaintiff smortgage had priority over defendant's mortgage; claim that plaintiff had constructive notice of defendant's mortgage; constructive notice doctrine, discussed. State v. Gordon Larceny of elderly person by embezzlement in second degree; claim that trial court improperly admitted into evidence testimonial hearsay statement of victim in violation of defendant's constitutional right to confrontation; claim that defendant was deprived of due process rights when prosecutor engaged in prosecutorial impropriety by making substantive use of testimonial hearsay statement in closing rebuttal argument; whether witness' testimony regarding victim's statement; whether this court heads was partial defendant so prosecutorial impropriety claim. Stat	tegrity of its earlier judgment.	-	0.0
Nikola v. 2938 Fairfield, LLC. Foreclosure; motion for deficiency judgment; claim that trial court incorrectly concluded that it was not barred by doctrine of res judicata from determining amount of deficiency judgment; claim that certain findings from Probate Court as to amount of deficiency judgment ertain tax liens paid by plaintiff. Onthank v. Onthank Breach of contract; whether trial court properly concluded that plaintiff substantially complied with notice of default provision of promissory note; claim that trial court erred in its calculation of damages awarded to plaintiff. Saunders v. KDFBS, LLC. Foreclosure; judgment of foreclosure by sale; whether trial court erred in determining plaintiff's mortgage had priority over defendant's mortgage; claim that plaintiff had constructive notice of defendant's mortgage; constructive notice doctrine, discussed. State v. Gordon Larceny of elderly person by embezzlement in second degree; claim that trial court improperly admitted into evidence testimonial hearsay statement of victim in violation of defendant's constitutional right to confrontation; claim that defendant was deprived of due process rights when prosecutor engaged in prosecutorial impropriety by making substantive use of testimonial hearsay statement in closing rebuttal argument; whether witness' testimony regarding victim's statement constituted hearsay; whether defendant was harmed by admission of witness' testimony reparding victim's statement was learned by admission of witness' testimony reparding victim's statement; whether this court had subject matter jurisdiction to review merits of trial court's dismissal of defendant's postsentencing motion to withdraw his guilty plea was justiciable; whether this court should have invoked its supervisory authority pursuant to applicable rule of practice (§ 60-2) to treat appeal of dismissal of postsentencing motion to withdraw defendant's guilty plea was justiciable; whether this court should have invoked its supervisory authority pursuant to applica	ility discrimination; claim that trial court erred by failing to charg wintiff's claim of interference with Family and Medical Leave Act of S.C § 2601 et seq.); claim that trial court erred by admitting letter plaintiff's coworker into evidence under business records exception to le; claim that trial court erred by refusing to admit into evidence	1993 (29 prepared hearsay	ю
Onthank v. Onthank. Breach of contract; whether trial court properly concluded that plaintiff substantially complied with notice of default provision of promissory note; claim that trial court erred in its calculation of damages awarded to plaintiff. Saunders v. KDFBS, LLC. Foreclosure; judgment of foreclosure by sale; whether trial court erred in determining plaintiff's mortgage had priority over defendant's mortgage; claim that plaintiff had constructive notice of defendant's mortgage; constructive notice doctrine, discussed. State v. Gordon Larceny of elderly person by embezzlement in second degree; claim that trial court improperly admitted into evidence testimonial hearsay statement of victim in violation of defendant's constitutional right to confrontation; claim that defendant was deprived of due process rights when prosecutor engaged in prosecutorial impropriety by making substantive use of testimonial hearsay statement in closing rebuttal argument; whether witness' testimony regarding victim's statement constituted hearsay; whether effendant was harmed by admission of witness' testimony regarding victim's statement; otherher this court needed to reach merits of defendant's prosecutorial impropriety claim. State v. Green Assault in first degree; whether this court had subject matter jurisdiction to review merits of trial court's dismissal of defendant's postsentencing motion to withdraw his guilty plea was justiciable; whether this court should have invoked its supervisory authority pursuant to applicable rule of practice (§ 60-2) to treat appeal of dismissal of postsentencing motion to disqualify trial judge; claim that this court should have invoked its supervisory authority pursuant to applicable rule of practice (§ 60-2) to treat appeal of dismissal of postsentencing motion to disqualify trial judge; claim that this court should have invoked its supervisory authority pursuant to applicable rule of practice (§ 60-2) to treat appeal of dismissal of postsentencing motion to disqualify trial judg	2938 Fairfield, LLC osure; motion for deficiency judgment; claim that trial court incorrected that it was not barred by doctrine of res judicata from determining deficiency judgment; claim that certain findings from Probate Contount of deficiency barred further litigation; whether trial court	g amount urt as to	78
Saunders v. KDFBS, LLC Foreclosure; judgment of foreclosure by sale; whether trial court erred in determining plaintiff's mortgage had priority over defendant's mortgage; claim that plaintiff had constructive notice of defendant's mortgage; constructive notice doctrine, discussed. State v. Gordon Larceny of elderly person by embezzlement in second degree; claim that trial court improperly admitted into evidence testimonial hearsay statement of victim in violation of defendant's constitutional right to confrontation; claim that defendant was deprived of due process rights when prosecutor engaged in prosecutorial impropriety by making substantive use of testimonial hearsay statement in closing rebuttal argument; whether witness' testimony regarding victim's statement constituted hearsay, whether defendant was harmed by admission of witness' testimony regarding victim's statement; whether this court needed to reach merits of defendant's prosecutorial impropriety claim. State v. Green Assault in first degree; whether this court had subject matter jurisdiction to review merits of trial court's dismissal of defendant's postsentencing motion to withdraw his guilty plea; whether defendant's appeal of dismissal of postsentencing motion to withdraw his guilty plea was justiciable; whether this court should have invoked its supervisory authority pursuant to applicable rule of practice (§ 60-2) to treat appeal of dismissal of postsentencing motion to withdraw defendant's guilty plea as authorized late appeal of his judgment of conviction. State v. Lane Assault in first degree; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying motion to disqualify trial judge; claim that this court should revisit precedent set by State v. Milner (325 Conn. 1) and require recusal of judicial authority when there is appearance of partiality, in absence of actual partiality; whether trial court abused its discretion in admitting photographs of victim's injuries into evidence; claim that photographs of victim's injuries vere irrelevan	Onthank	stantially	54
Larceny of elderly person by embezzlement in second degree; claim that trial court improperly admitted into evidence testimonial hearsay statement of victim in violation of defendant's constitutional right to confrontation; claim that defendant was deprived of due process rights when prosecutor engaged in prosecutorial impropriety by making substantive use of testimonial hearsay statement in closing rebuttal argument; whether witness' testimony regarding victim's statement constituted hearsay; whether defendant was harmed by admission of vitness' testimony regarding victim's statement; whether this court needed to reach merits of defendant's prosecutorial impropriety claim. State v. Green Assault in first degree; whether this court had subject matter jurisdiction to review merits of trial court's dismissal of defendant's postsentencing motion to withdraw his guilty plea; whether defendant's appeal of dismissal of postsentencing motion to withdraw his guilty plea was justiciable; whether this court should have invoked its supervisory authority pursuant to applicable rule of practice (§ 60-2) to treat appeal of dismissal of postsentencing motion to withdraw defendant's guilty plea as authorized late appeal of his judgment of conviction. State v. Lane Assault in first degree; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying motion to disqualify trial judge; claim that this court should revisit precedent set by State v. Milner (325 Conn. 1) and require recusal of judicial authority when there is appearance of partiality, in absence of actual partiality; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying motion evidence; claim that photographs of victim's injuries were irrelevant and unduly prejudicial. State v. Marshall. Motion to correct illegal sentence; claim that trial court erred in concluding that defendant waved right to jury trial on public interest determination and that he was not required to admit that extended incarceration would best serve public interest; whether trial court properly rejec	v. KDFBS, LLC	ermining plaintiff	92
Assault in first degree; whether this court had subject matter jurisdiction to review merits of trial court's dismissal of defendant's postsentencing motion to withdraw his guilty plea, whether defendant's appeal of dismissal of postsentencing motion to withdraw his guilty plea was justiciable; whether this court should have invoked its supervisory authority pursuant to applicable rule of practice (§ 60-2) to treat appeal of dismissal of postsentencing motion to withdraw defendant's guilty plea as authorized late appeal of his judgment of conviction. State v. Lane Assault in first degree; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying motion to disqualify trial judge; claim that this court should revisit precedent set by State v. Milner (325 Conn. 1) and require recusal of judicial authority when there is appearance of partiality, in absence of actual partiality; whether trial court abused its discretion in admitting photographs of victim's injuries into evidence; claim that photographs of victim's injuries were irrelevant and unduly prejudicial. State v. Marshall Motion to correct illegal sentence; claim that trial court erred in concluding that defendant was properly sentenced as persistent serious felony offender pursuant to (Rev. to 2007 § 53a-40 (j)); claim that trial court erred in concluding that defendant waived right to jury trial on public interest determination and that he was not required to admit that extended incarceration would best serve public interest; whether trial court properly rejected claims regarding defendant's right	ordon ny of elderly person by embezzlement in second degree; claim that to properly admitted into evidence testimonial hearsay statement of volation of defendant's constitutional right to confrontation; claim that twas deprived of due process rights when prosecutor engaged in prospriety by making substantive use of testimonial hearsay states sing rebuttal argument; whether witness' testimony regarding viction to constituted hearsay; whether defendant was harmed by admissions' testimony regarding victim's statement; whether this court needed	rial court victim in at defend- ecutorial ement in n's state- on of wit-	70
State v. Lane	reen It in first degree; whether this court had subject matter jurisdiction writs of trial court's dismissal of defendant's postsentencing motion to a guilty plea; whether defendant's appeal of dismissal of postsentencin withdraw his guilty plea was justiciable; whether this court should have supervisory authority pursuant to applicable rule of practice (§ 60-2 peal of dismissal of postsentencing motion to withdraw defendant's great of the supervisory authority pursuant to applicable rule of practice (§ 60-2 peal of dismissal of postsentencing motion to withdraw defendant's great of the supervisory authority pursuant to applicable rule of practice (§ 60-2 peal of dismissal of postsentencing motion to withdraw defendant's great of the supervisory authority of t	vithdraw g motion e invoked E) to treat	53
State v. Marshall	the	nt set by ity when ther trial cries into	1
defendant's sentence.	arshall	pursuant ding that and that ve public nt's right)9
	v. Commissioner of Correction	failed to 34 Conn. eas court	75

was amenable to declination under relevant rule of practice (§ 23-24 (a)); claim that petitioner's state constitutional claim was procedurally defaulted because	
habeas petition was not proper procedural mechanism to pursue that claim.	200
Swanson v. Perez-Swanson	266
Dissolution of marriage; motion to dismiss postjudgment motion for modification	
of custody; whether trial court erred in determining that it lacked jurisdiction	
to enter additional orders regarding child custody and visitation pursuant to	
applicable statute (§ 46b-1151).	
Villanueva v. Villanueva.	36
Breach of contract; implied in fact contract; damages; statute of limitations; whether	
trial court erred in finding implied partnership agreement between parties;	
whether trial court erred in concluding that plaintiff provided credible evidence	
of his damages; whether trial court improperly rejected defendant's special defense	
that plaintiff's action was barred by three year statute of limitations (§ 52-577).	
	100
Warzecha v. USAA Casualty Ins. Co	188
Breach of insurance contract; declaratory judgment; whether defendant had duty to	
defend and to indemnify plaintiff pursuant to homeowners insurance policy in	
action alleging negligent infliction of emotional distress; whether trial court	
erred in rendering summary judgment for defendant.	
errea in renaering summary juayment for aejenaani.	